Problem Set 3 Lecturer: Daniel Wichs

Problem 1 (Modifying CPA Definition)

CS-7810 Graduate Cryptography

A. Let us modify the definition of CPA security (see lecture notes 7) by changing the experiment $\mathbf{CPAGame}^{b}$ so that the adversary does not get access to the encryption oracle before choosing the messages m_0^*, m_1^* . That is, we simply remove step 2 from the game. The adversary still gets access to the encryption oracle in step 4 after receiving the challenge ciphertext c^* . Show that this modified definition is weaker than the original. In other words, show that assuming pseudorandom functions exist, you can construct a contrived scheme which satisfies the modified definition but does not satisfy the original definition.

B. Alternately, we can modify the CPA definition by removing step 4 from the game so that the adversary does not get access to the encryption oracle after choosing the messages m_0^*, m_1^* . In this variant, the adversary still gets access to the encryption oracle in step 2 before it chooses the messages m_0^*, m_1^* and gets the challenge ciphertext c^* . Again, show that this modified definition is weaker than the original

Problem 2 (CRHF or Not)

Let $\{H_s : \{0,1\}^{2n} \to \{0,1\}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}, s \in \{0,1\}^n}$ be a collision resistant hash function (CRHF) that compresses 2n bits to n bits. For each of the following either show that it is also a CRHF or give a counter-example.

- $H'_{s}(x)$ outputs the first n-1 bits of $H_{s}(x)$.
- $H'_{s}(x_{1}, x_{2}) = H_{s}(H_{s}(x_{1}), H_{s}(x_{2}))$ where $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \{0, 1\}^{2n}$.
- $H'_s(x) = H_s(G(x))$ where $x \in \{0,1\}^{n+1}$ and $G : \{0,1\}^{n+1} \to \{0,1\}^{2n}$ is a PRG.

Problem 3 (Are CHRHFs also OWFs?) $15 \, \mathrm{pts}$

Let $\{H_s : \{0,1\}^{2n} \to \{0,1\}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}, s \in \{0,1\}^n}$ be a collision resistant hash function (CRHF) that compresses 2n bits to n bits. Show that $f(s, x) = (s, H_s(x))$ is a OWF.

Show that this may not hold if $\{H_s : \{0,1\}^{n+1} \to \{0,1\}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}, s \in \{0,1\}^n}$ only compresses n+1bits to n bits.

Problem 4 (CRHF + PRF \Rightarrow MAC) $10 \, \mathrm{pts}$

Let $\{H_s : \{0,1\}^* \to \{0,1\}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}, s \in \{0,1\}^n}$ be a collision resistant hash function (CRHF) that takes an arbitrary long input and hashes it to n bits. Let $F: \{0,1\}^n \times \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^n$ be a PRF.

PS3, Page 1

October 16, 2017

Due: 10/25, 2017

$10 \, \mathrm{pts}$

 $10 \, \mathrm{pts}$

Show that $MAC((k, s), m) = F_k(H_s(m))$ is a secure MAC with secret key (k, s) that can be used to authenticate arbitrarily long messages m.

Problem 5 (Combiners)

10 pts

- Suppose you have two candidate one-way functions f and f'. You are told that at least one of them is secure but you don't know which. Show how to combine them to get a function f^* which is guaranteed to be one-way.
- Same question for two candidate PRFs F, F'. Show how to construct F^* which is guaranteed to be a PRF if at least one of F, F' is.
- Same question for CPA secure encryption schemes (Enc, Dec) and (Enc', Dec').
- Same question for CRHFs H, H'.