

Comments and Errata on Atmospheric and Oceanic Fluid Dynamics (1st Printing)

Geoffrey K. Vallis

October 31, 2007

The following errata and comments apply to the *first printing* of the book. Most of the errors have been corrected in the second printing, although a few remain. If you have the second printing of the book, available from October 2007, please see the corresponding errata sheet. (The second printing has 'Reprinted 2007' on the copyright page.) Errors that occur in both printings are (or should be) listed in both errata sheets.

Most of the errors should not, I think, cause too much frustration to either the careful reader (who will figure them out independently) or the casual reader (who won't notice them), but those marked with a black diamond may cause a little head-scratching or may need the reader to work a little. These are collected at the head of the list, and are followed by the typos in page order. Unless stated, none of the corrections affect the subsequent arguments or derivations in the book. If you find other errors, or if you think something is poorly explained, please contact the author at 'gkv-at-princeton-dot-edu'.

1. ♦ Pages 156 and 158. The cancellation of certain boundary terms is poorly explained. However, the results are correct.
2. ♦ Page 258. This explanation (the informal mechanism) is a little brief and may be hard to follow, and (6.48) is not transparent without more algebra. A clearer version will be provided eventually.
3. ♦ Page 262, Fig. 6.9. The labels B and C are interchanged.
4. ♦ Page 399, fig. 9.9 caption. Potential energy and kinetic energy are transposed. Should read: "... Initially baroclinic processes dominate, with conversions from zonal to eddy APE and then eddy APE to eddy kinetic energy, followed by the barotropic conversion of eddy kinetic to zonal kinetic energy. . ."
5. ♦ Page 266. Above (6.78), the channel extends from 0 to L , not $\pm L/2$. Relatedly, on page 268, above (6.91), the most unstable wave is that with the gravest meridional scale, and so the smallest possible meridional wavenumber l . This is not exactly $l = 0$ except in doubly periodic geometry, but for a wide channel l is very small.
6. ♦ Pages 426–436. In a few places, 'across gradient' is written where 'across iso-surfaces' (or 'along gradient') is meant, and 'diagradients' should be replaced by 'along-gradient' (usually downgradient) or 'diffusive' ('dia' means *across*). Page 426, below (10.82), should read: 'The flux has a component across the isosurfaces of ϕ , called the diffusive flux, and a component along the iso-surfaces, called the skew flux'. Page 428, l3–4, 'along-gradient' should be 'along isosurfaces' (or 'perpendicular to the gradient'). Page 429, section 10.6.4, first bullet should read '... across isosurfaces, the diffusive flux, and a component along isosurfaces, the skew flux.' Page 436, item (i) should read '... across iso-surfaces of buoyancy' instead of across its gradient. Duh.
7. ♦ Page 434, eq. (10.135). The inequality needs further explanation, especially as $\partial b / \partial y$ may be positive or negative. The reader may ignore it, and just read on.

8. ♦ Page 525, eq. (PV.1). The term $-\partial \overline{v_i q_i} / \partial y$ should be just $\overline{v_i' q_i'}$, as in (12.67). This typo should be obvious to those who have read the text, but it is still confusing. Relatedly, the term on the lhs of (PV.4) should have a minus sign.
1. Page 5, eq. (1.10). Given the convention used in the book, the subscripts on b should be superscripts (i.e., b^x not b_x), because they denote components of a vector and not derivatives.
2. Page 21, first line of last paragraph. $(\partial h / \partial t)_p$ should be $(\partial h / \partial T)_p$
3. Page 29, equation on last line. dp should be $d\alpha$ in middle term on rhs.
4. Page 31, line below (1.139). Should be: $\theta = \theta(\eta, S, p_R) = \theta(T, S, p)$; i.e., p not p_R in last term.
5. Page 35, Fig. 1.4 caption, line 3. Replace 13.36°C by 10.14°C .
6. Page 86, line 3. The factor of $\sin \theta$ should be removed, but (2.187) is nevertheless correct.
7. Page 119, problem 2.14. The pressure term, $-\rho_0^{-1} \nabla p$, is inadvertently omitted from the right-hand side of the first equation.
8. Page 130, eq. (3.33). Extraneous minus sign on rhs.
9. Page 139, section 3.6.2. The derivation given is for the flat-bottomed case, which is not stated explicitly. As an exercise, the reader should perform a similar calculation with topography, and show that $E = (hu^2/2 + gh^2/2 + g\eta_b h)$ and $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{u}(E + gh^2/2)$.
10. Page 142. The deformation radius, L_d , is not defined. It is $L_d \equiv \sqrt{gH}/f$. (In the continuously stratified case, it is NH/f .)
11. Page 150, (3.149) and (3.150). Factor of $e^{i(kx+ly)}$ is missing in all the integrands.
12. Page 156, eq. (3.178). The two right-hand sides should each have a minus sign before the integral signs.
Eq. (3.179). Factor of $1/2$ missing, and the minus sign should be deleted. The factor of $1/2$ should be propagated through to (3.183).
13. Page 160. Formatting error in problem 3.3. It should read $\nabla \cdot [\mathbf{v}(E + gh^2/2)]$ and not $\nabla_{\mathbf{v}(E+gh^2/2)}$.
14. Page 185, eq. (4.109). Should read $\alpha^{-2} \gg 1$ and not $\alpha^2 \ll 1$. Also, line before (4.113), $\boldsymbol{\Omega} = f\mathbf{k}$ should be $2\boldsymbol{\Omega} = f\mathbf{k}$.
15. Page 196, eq. (5.1). Should read $(u, v) \sim U$, not $(u, v) \sim L$.
16. Page 208, eq. (5.59). The term $\hat{f}_0 k \times \hat{\mathbf{u}}_1$ has an extraneous minus sign.
Eq. (5.61). Missing subscript 0 on second $\hat{\mathbf{u}}$, and second t should be \hat{t} . Also, missing \hat{f}_0 in (5.62), although its value is unity.
17. Page 213, eqs. (5.85a) and (5.88a). Should be a minus sign before last terms on rhs in each equation, and H_2 should be H_1 in last term in (5.88a). (The terms are subsequently dropped).
18. Page 227, eq. (5.159). H should be H^2 .
19. Page 228, eq. (5.168). As written, $\hat{\psi} \equiv (\psi_1 - \psi_2)/\sqrt{2}$. Also, in (5.170), $\int d\mathbf{x} \equiv \int dA$.
20. Page 232. End of line 2, eastwards should be westwards.

21. Page 237–238 on phase speed. Some sources define the phase velocity to be given by $\mathbf{c}_p \equiv \omega \mathbf{k} / K^2$, where \mathbf{k} is the wavevector. The components of the phase velocity are then given by $c_p^x = \omega k^x / K^2$, etc. Defined this way, the phase velocity is a true velocity. However, its components do *not* represent the speed at which wavecrests travel along the coordinate axes. This definition is not common, but be aware of it. Also, at the bottom of page 240, the explanation of group velocity is rather terse and note that $\omega' = \omega(k + k') - \omega(k)$.
22. Page 239, eq. (5.225). Last term should have minus sign before it.
23. Page 256, caption to Fig. 6.5. $\sigma = -ikc_i$ not $\sigma = kc_i$.
24. Page 259 eq. (6.53) and (6.54). The terms $v\zeta$ should be multiplied by 2. Eq. (6.55), the two plus signs should both be minus signs, as in (7.17).
25. Page 263, eq. (6.61). z_A instead of z_B in fourth term in second expression.
26. Page 265, after (6.74). Should be ‘the integral must vanish’, or alternatively (and implying) ‘the integrand must vanish at some point’.
27. Page 266. It is the background PV *gradient* that is zero in the Eady problem. Q in (6.75), which is zero, is the contribution to the basic state PV from the flow and from βy ; this is sometimes called the ‘QG PV’, and does not include the f_0 term.
28. Page 274, 1–2. $\beta \neq 0$, not $\beta = 0$.
29. Page 277, surrounding (6.128). K instead of k , so the unstable interval is $\sqrt{\beta/2U} < K < k_d$.
30. Page 275, first sentence of second section. No hats on variables.
31. Page 279. Better to have primes on the perturbation variables in (6.135)–(6.137).
32. Page 280, eq. (6.141). c_T and c_B are interchanged.
33. Page 284, eq. (6.161) should read: $(\partial/\partial t + U\partial/\partial x)q' + (\partial\psi'/\partial x)(\partial Q/\partial y) = 0$ and not $(\partial/\partial t + U\partial u/\partial x)q' + (\partial\psi'/\partial x)(\partial Q/\partial y) = 0$. (Spot the difference.)
34. Page 285, eq. (6.165). ψ should be ψ' on lhs, and four lines above.
35. Page 296. Just before (7.5), ‘if J is also zero’ should be ‘if H is also zero’. Just after (7.5), ‘ D and J are zero’ should be ‘ D and H are zero’. Just below (7.12) on p. 297, ‘where J' is’ should be ‘where H' is’.
36. Page 298, first sentence of section 7.2. ‘Eddy flux of potential may be’ should be ‘Eddy flux of potential vorticity may be’.
37. Page 300, eq. (7.33). k and l are transposed in the expressions for \tilde{u} and \tilde{v} . We should have: $\tilde{u} = -il\tilde{\psi}$, $\tilde{v} = ik\tilde{\psi}$.
38. Page 303, l 7. p should be m , twice.
39. Page 304, eq. (7.60b). w should be \bar{w} .
40. Page 308. In (7.79), the first $\bar{\sigma}$ should be \bar{h} . Better, $\bar{v}^b \equiv \overline{v\sigma^b}/\bar{\sigma} = \bar{v}^b + \overline{v'\sigma'^b}/\bar{\sigma}$.
41. Page 310, second bullet. E should be \mathcal{F} .
42. Page 311, eq. (7.93). ϕ in first term should be $\bar{\phi}$.
43. Page 313, eq. (7.106). Should be $F[\varphi]$ not $F[\bar{\varphi}]$.

44. Page 314, eq. (7.112c). Middle equation should have minus sign on rhs, and in last equation \mathbf{v}' should be \mathbf{u}' (the horizontal eddy velocity).
45. Page 319, eqs. (7.130) and (7.131). Missing factor of ρ_0 , and, in (7.131) only, f_0 .
46. Page 320, just above (7.138). Reference to (7.136) should be to (7.135).
47. Page 324, eq. (7.141). Subscripts 1 should be subscripts i .
48. Page 327, eq. (7.157). No minus sign on rhs.
49. Page 330, first equation in box (7.812). Extraneous s on lhs, next to \hat{H} .
50. Page 339, eq. (8.8). Should be ζ and not ψ in viscous term.
51. Page 340. $1/L^2$ should be L^2 in (8.11), and k^4 should be k^2 in (8.12).
52. Page 345. In fig. 8.3, $E(k)$ should be $\mathcal{E}(k)$.
53. Page 352 and 353, eq. (8.48) and eq. (8.53). \hat{E} should be \bar{E} , and \hat{Z} should be \bar{Z} , respectively.
54. Page 352, eq. (8.49). $\mathcal{Z}(q)$ is not the same function as the $\mathcal{Z}(k)$ of (8.44), but it is still such that the enstrophy is $\int \mathcal{Z}(q) dq$.
55. Page 355, eq. (8.62a). The integral $\int d\mathbf{x}$ is the same as $\int dA$, and the viscous term should have a minus sign. In (8.63)–(8.65), for notational consistency the energy spectrum should be written $\mathcal{E}(k)$ and not $E(k)$.
56. Page 369, eq. (8.105). χ should be χ' on right-hand side. (χ is a constant.) And replace ‘by $\eta^{-1/3}$ ’ by ‘is $\eta^{-1/3}$ ’ in the second sentence of the paragraph above (8.107).
57. Page 380, caption and inset to Fig. 9.1. ε should be $\varepsilon^{1/3}$.
58. Page 386, eq. (9.24b). a and b are transposed on second term on rhs of definition of Jacobian. Also, line before (9.27), second ‘barotropic’ should be ‘baroclinic’.
59. Page 389. 1st text line should read $|\nabla^2| \sim k^2 \ll k_a^2$ and not $\nabla^2 \sim k_a^2 \ll k^2$.
60. Page 392, eq. (9.48). $D[\tau]$ should be multiplied by $-k_a^{-2}$, but the term is not used.
61. Page 404 and 725. Holloway and Hendershott (1986). Year should be (1977).
62. Page 417. The symbols K_{turb} and \mathcal{K}_{turb} are both used for the same quantity, namely the turbulent diffusivity.
63. Page 428, line after (10.100). ‘Divergence’ should be ‘gradient’, twice.
64. Page 434, eq. (10.132): \bar{u} should be $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$ in first term. And line after (10.132): ‘Zonally uniform, small amplitude wave’ should read ‘Zonally uniform basic state and small amplitude wave’. In (10.133), u' should be v' . Two lines after (10.134), reference to (10.133) should be omitted.
65. Page 437, eq. (10.146). Subscripts on s should be superscripts, as they denote components and not derivatives.
66. Page 440, eq. (10.156). The \mathbf{u}' should be outside of the derivative in the third order term, but this term is promptly neglected.
67. Page 443, eq. (10.175). $\overline{\mathbf{v}'\sigma'}$ should be $\overline{\mathbf{u}'\sigma'}$ in third term.

68. Page 458 eq. (11.4). Need a factor of $1/a$ on first term of rhs. Also, ∂_y should be ∂_ϑ on the following line; alternatively, delete factor of a^{-1} .
69. Page 460, eq. (11.11). Missing factor $-1/g$ on rhs.
70. Page 468, item (ii) and (11.40). Note that we are using an *equatorial* beta plane, on which $f_0 = 0$. Thus, $f = f_0 + \beta y = \beta y$.
71. Page 471, eq. (11.54). For notational consistency with what follows, $\delta\theta$ should be θ .
72. Page 471. Line above (11.57), should be $m(0) = \Omega a^2 \cos^2 \vartheta$ (i.e., ϑ and not ϑ_1), as $u = 0$ at the surface. And (11.57) and (11.58) are missing factors of $-\theta_0$ on rhs.
73. Page 474, $\cos \vartheta$ should be $\cos^2 \vartheta$ in text at end of second paragraph.
74. Page 476, eq. (11.64); page 480, eq. (11.73); page 481, eq. (11.75). The eddy flux term needs a factor of $1/a$.
75. Page 476, eq. (11.66). w should be \bar{w} .
76. Page 494, eq. (12.34). Factor of $1/2$ missing on right-hand sides. See, e.g., (12.27).
77. Page 495. The statement ‘illustrating the group velocity property’ is opaque and best ignored.
78. Page 504. Line after (12.61b), extraneous $-\nabla$ between g' and η . Also, $g' = g(\rho_2 - \rho_1)/\rho_0$, and not $g' = g(\rho_1 - \rho_2)/\rho_0$. Relatedly, (12.64) should have a minus sign on rhs. (It is technically correct as written, because of the way g' is defined.) Finally, after (12.63), note that $\phi_T = \phi_1$, and its introduction is unnecessary.
79. Page 505, eq. (12.71). h_i should be \bar{h}_i .
80. Page 506, eq. (12.77). v_1 on rhs should be v_2 . (Eq. (12.79) is correct.)
81. Page 507. Eq. (12.83), second term on rhs should have plus sign, not minus sign.
82. Page 508. Eq. (12.85), q should be \bar{q} , twice.
83. Page 511. Sentence above (12.89), polewards and equatorwards should be reversed. And ‘inequality’ should be ‘equality’ in the line following (12.89).
84. Page 513. H in (12.95) is not defined nearby, and is $H = H_1 + H_2$. Also, the frictional term in (12.95) and (12.96) has the wrong sign, and the factor of 2 in the first term of (12.96) is spurious. The terms are not used elsewhere.
85. Page 514. In (12.98) η should be $\bar{\eta}$, and in (12.99) q should be \bar{q} .
86. Page 516. In (12.103), factor of $1/2$ should be inside square brackets.
87. Page 518. Line 2: decreases should be increases. Line 7, westward should be westerly.
88. Page 531, fifth line after (12.119). Tropopause should be troposphere. Likewise on page 533, third line after (12.123)
89. Page 533, fourth line after (12.120), second subscript should be s , not i .
90. Page 536–537. The appendix discusses the computation of the EP fluxes in log-pressure coordinates. However, the computations were actually carried out in pressure coordinates, with the scaling as indicated at the bottom of page 537, and then the results transformed to log-pressure coordinates for plotting purposes only.

91. Page 539, endnote 12. The reference to page 12.6.3 should be to page 532, or to section 12.6.3.
92. Page 543. Missing prime on v in (13.7) and (13.10).
93. Page 547. The factor $1/k_s$ should be omitted in (13.18).
94. Page 551, below (13.34). Would be clearer if it read: ‘... , and so *short* waves are trapped by westerly flow.’
95. Page 555, eq. (13.50), and later in (13.66). First term, $\partial\psi/\partial t$ should be $\partial(\partial_z\psi)/\partial t$.
96. Page 556. In (13.60a), minus sign after \bar{u} should be plus sign [as in (5.186b)].
97. Page 555–559. We write the topography as $h_b(x, y) = \text{Re}[\tilde{h}_b \sin ly e^{ikx}]$, and then in (13.55), (13.58), (13.65), (T.1a,b) and the rhs of (13.70), h_b should be replaced by \tilde{h}_b .
98. Page 558. In (13.65), im should be $-im$. In the paragraph following, it is $\rho_R |\tilde{\psi}|^2$, not the amplitude of $\tilde{\psi}$ itself, that is independent of height in the last case.
99. Page 574. The right-hand sides of (13.93) and (13.94) should be multiplied by -1 .
100. Page 586, eqs. (14.5) and (14.14). The quantity W_E is not quite the same as the vertical velocity due to Ekman pumping, although the notation might imply it. The Ekman pumping velocity, W_{Ek} , is given by (2.296), and so $W_{Ek} \approx \text{curl}_z(\boldsymbol{\tau}/f)$, whereas $W_E = \text{curl}_z \boldsymbol{\tau}$.

Acknowledgment: I am grateful to all the readers who have sent in comments. I would particularly like to acknowledge Roger Berlind for his exceptionally close reading of the book.